

# What is the usefulness of azole prophylaxis against cryptococcal meningitis in HIV-positive children?

Primary Reviewer: **Jana Thurey**<sup>1</sup>, Secondary Reviewer: **Elizabeth Molyneux**<sup>2</sup>

First published online: 3<sup>rd</sup> September 2008

1 University of Edinburgh, Scotland

2 University of Malawi, Blantyre, Malawi

The World Health Organization has produced guidelines for the management of common illnesses in hospitals with limited resources. This series reviews the scientific evidence behind WHO's recommendations. The WHO guidelines, and more reviews are available at:

[http://www.who.int/child-adolescent-health/publications/CHILD\\_HEALTH/PB.htm](http://www.who.int/child-adolescent-health/publications/CHILD_HEALTH/PB.htm)

**This review addresses the question:** *What is the usefulness of azole prophylaxis against cryptococcal meningitis in HIV-positive children?*

The WHO Pocketbook of Hospital Care for Children recommends that if a HIV positive child has cryptococcal meningitis then treat with amphotericin for 14 days then fluconazole for a further 8 weeks. Fluconazole prophylaxis is then started after treatment. (Pocketbook page 218)

## INTRODUCTION

Cryptococcal meningitis (CM) is a form of meningitis which is found in children and adults infected with the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)[1-2].

The causative organism in cryptococcal disease is *Cryptococcus neoformans*, an encapsulated yeast and the disease spectrum includes pneumonia, cutaneous lesions and most commonly and morbidly meningitis.

The most recent guidelines by the US Public Health Service and Infectious Disease society of America recommend that "antifungal prophylaxis not be used routinely to prevent cryptococcosis because of the relative infrequency of cryptococcal disease, lack of survival benefits associated with prophylaxis, possibility of drug interactions, potential antifungal drug resistance, and cost." Life-long secondary prophylaxis however is recommended for patients who have completed initial therapy for cryptococcal infection. No specific guidelines exist for children and all the current recommendations are based on adult data.

The development of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) has reduced the need for prophylactic treatment for several opportunistic infections such as *Pneumocystis carinii* pneumonia (PCP)[2] and disseminated *Mycobacterium avium*[2]. Low availability of HAART, higher incidences of CM in developing countries however have brought into question whether the current guidelines discouraging the routine use of fluconazole prophylaxis are indeed adequate for countries with low resources[2].

## METHODOLOGY

The Cochrane library, EMBASE and Medline were searched systematically using the keywords meningitis, cryptococcal, *Cryptococcus neoformans*, *Cryptococcus gattii*, cryptococcosis, HIV, acquired immunodeficiency syndrome, prophylaxis, fluconazole, itraconazole and azoles.

## RESULTS

No studies conducted in children, or with paediatric patients as a subset of the study sample were identified. The adult literature was therefore assessed. Three studies, including a Cochrane review, which assessed 5 randomised controlled trials, on the topic of primary fluconazole prophylaxis in HIV positive adults were identified[11-17]. Four studies investigating the need for secondary prophylaxis after the treatment for CM in HIV positive adults were also included in this review[18-21].

### Research conducted in Adults

Both fluconazole and itraconazole are effective at preventing CM in HIV-positive adults[2-6] but are only associated with a survival benefit in patients with either very low CD4 counts (<100 cells/ $\mu$ l) or living in areas where CM has an increased incidence[3],[12]. Due to heterogeneity between the studies no definite conclusions can be drawn concerning which antifungal agent is superior or what dose/timing would be best.

Even though antifungal prophylaxis should not be prescribed routinely for all HIV-positive individuals it has a role in preventing CM in patients with very low CD4 counts, living in endemic areas, who are naïve to HAART or in the early stages of treatment. Once immune reconstitution has taken place to >200 cells/ $\mu$ l it appears safe to discontinue secondary prophylaxis when serum cryptococcal antigen is negative[2-6] but further randomised blinded studies with more participants are needed to confirm this finding. If HAART is not available, fluconazole prophylaxis should be used as an alternative means of preventing opportunistic cryptococcal infections.

### Applicability of the Research to Children

In order to assess how applicable this research was to children the literature search was conducted to assess the safety of fluconazole in children. The safety profile of fluconazole has been studied in large paediatric trials and appears to be just as favourable as in adults[2],[3]. To reach equivalent levels of fluconazole exposure in children compared to adults the per kilogram dose has to be increased[2] due to differences in volume of distribution. Of note is also the decreased incidence of CM in children, which may reduce the efficacy of a prophylactic

intervention. Accurate epidemiological data on the prevalence of CM in children does not exist, but limited data suggests that it is less prevalent than in adults[2]. Its prevalence may however be underestimated as some CM may be misdiagnosed as tuberculous meningitis. Furthermore few hospitals are able to conduct Cryptococcus antigen tests and rely on India ink staining the cerebrospinal fluid for diagnosis of Cryptococcus neoformans, which has a poorer sensitivity than antigen testing[3].

## DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

The issues surrounding antifungal prophylaxis against cryptococcal meningitis are complex and not fully elucidated, even in adults. Fundamental to deciding how useful azole prophylaxis against CM would be in HIV positive children is accurate epidemiological data. Once the extent of the problem has been identified the need for prophylaxis can be assessed more accurately. Studies conducted in HIV-positive children aged [6-18] assessing the usefulness of azole prophylaxis against CM are needed to clarify the question. These studies should be conducted in developing countries, as differences in access to health care and antifungal therapies as well as differences in the incidence of HIV and CM will affect the need and argument for prophylaxis. Care must be taken to evaluate the possibility of the development of resistant strains when azole prophylaxis use is being assessed.

International public health efforts to make HIV testing as well as HAART more available for children and adults in countries with low resources will almost certainly be the most effective measure to diminish the morbidity associated with CM. Further data on the epidemiology of CM in HIV positive children is needed in order to assess more accurately the usefulness of azole prophylaxis.

## REFERENCES

- French N, Gray K, Watera et al. Cryptococcal Infection in a cohort of HIV-1 infected Ugandan Adults. *AIDS*, 18: 1031-1038
- Moosa MY, Coovadia YM. Cryptococcal meningitis in Durban, South Africa: a comparison of clinical features, laboratory findings, and outcome for HIV-positive and HIV-negative patients. *Clinical Infectious Disease*; 24: 131-134
- Kaplan et al. Guidelines for preventing of opportunistic infections among HIV-infected persons MMRW; June 14, 51(RR08): 1-46
- Lopez JC, Miro JM, Pena JM, et al. A randomized controlled trial of the discontinuation of primary and secondary prophylaxis against Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia after HAART in patients with HIV infection. *New England Journal of Medicine*; 344: 159-167
- El-Sadr WM, Burman WJ, Grant LB, et al. Discontinuation of prophylaxis for Mycobacterium avium complex disease in HIV-infected patients who have a response to antiretroviral therapy. *New England Journal of Medicine*; 342: 1058- 1092
- Chariyalertsak S, Supparatpinyo T & Nelson KE. A controlled trial of itraconazole as primary prophylaxis for systemic fungal infections in patients with advanced HIV infection in Thailand. *Clinical Infectious Disease*; 34: 277-284
- Mirza et al. The changing epidemiology of cryptococcosis: an update from population-based active surveillance in 2 large metropolitan areas, 1992-2000. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*; 36: 789 –794
- Chang LW, Phipps WT, Kennedy GE, Rutherford GW. Antifungal interventions for the primary prevention of cryptococcal disease in adults with HIV. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2005, Issue 3. Art. No.: CD004773
- Powderly W, Finkelstein D, Feinberg J, et al. A randomized trial comparing fluconazole with clotrimazole troches for the prevention of fungal infections in patients with advanced human immunodeficiency virus infection. *New England Journal of Medicine*; 332: 700-705
- McKinsey DS, Wheat LJ, Cloud GA et al. Itraconazole Prophylaxis for Fungal Infections in Patients with Advanced Human Immunodeficiency Virus Infection: Randomised, Placebo-controlled, double-blind study. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*; 28: 1049-1056
- Smith DE, Bell J, Johnson M, Youle M et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of itraconazole capsules for the prevention of deep fungal infections in immunodeficient patients with HIV infection. *HIV Medicine* (2001); 2: 78-83
- Chetchotisakd P, Sungkanuparph S, Thinkhamrop B, Mootsikapun P, Boonyaprawit P. A multicentre, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of primary cryptococcal meningitis prophylaxis in HIV-infected patients with severe immune deficiency. *HIV Medicine* (2004); 5: 140-143
- Liechty CA, Solberg P, Willy W et al. Asymptomatic serum cryptococcal antigenemia and early mortality during antiretroviral therapy in rural Uganda. *Tropical Medicine and International Health*; 12: 929-935
- Sun H-Y, Chen M-Y, Hsiao C-F, Hsieh S-M, Hung C-C, Change S-C. Endemic fungal infections caused by Cryptococcus neoformans and Penicillium Marneffeii in patients with human immunodeficiency virus and treated with highly active anti-retroviral therapy. *Clinical Microbiology of Infection*; 12; 381-388
- Mussini et al. Discontinuation of Maintenance Therapy for Cryptococcal Meningitis in Patients with AIDS Treated with Highly Active Antiretroviral Therapy: An International Observational Study. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*; 38: 565-571
- Vibhagool A, Sungkanuparph S et al. Discontinuation of Secondary Prophylaxis for Cryptococcal Meningitis in Human Immunodeficiency Virus-Infected Patients treated with Highly Active Antiretroviral Therapy: A Prospective Multicentre, Randomized Study. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*; 36: 1329-1331
- Sheng WD, Hung CC, Chen MY, Hsieh SM, Change SC. Successful discontinuation of Fluconazole as secondary prophylaxis for cryptococcosis in AIDS patients responding to highly active antiretroviral therapy. *International Journal of STD & AIDS*; 13: 702-705
- Novelli et al. Safety and Tolerability of Fluconazole in Children. *Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy* 1999; 43: 1955-1960
- Lee et al. Safety and pharmacokinetics of fluconazole in children with neoplastic disease. *Journal of Pediatrics* 1992; 120: 987-993
- Brammer et al. Pharmacokinetics of Fluconazole in Paediatric Patients. *European Journal of Microbiology and Infectious diseases* 1994; 13: 325-329
- Abadi et al. Cryptococcosis in Children with AIDS. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 1999; 28: 309-313
- Khanna N, Chandramuki A et al. Cryptococcal infections of the central nervous system: an analysis of predisposing factors, laboratory findings and outcome in patients from South India with special reference to HIV infection. *Journal of Medical Microbiology* 1996; 45: 376-379